E. Filing

GOLD BENNETT CERA & SIDENER LLP 1 STEVEN O. SIDENER (SBN 121062) JOSEPH M. BARTON (SBN 188441) GWENDOLYN R. GIBLIN (SBN 181973) FILED 595 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105-2835 MAR 1 4 2005 Telephone: (415) 777-2230 4 Facsimile: (415) 777-5189 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 5 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff And NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA All Others Similarly Situated 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 Master File No : C-02-3510 VRW In re HPL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 11 SECURITIES LITIGATION Class Action 12 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 13 AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO DEFENDANT 14 PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP 15 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 16 ALL ACTIONS. 17 18 19 This matter duly came before the Court for hearing on February 24, 2005 at 2:00 p.m. 20 pursuant to the Order of this Court dated November 5, 2004 ("Preliminary Approval Order"). 21 Due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class as required in said Preliminary 22 Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and 23 otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, 24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 25 This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation of 1. 26 Settlement with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated as of July 30, 2004 ("the Stipulation"). All 28

terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation unless otherwise indicated.

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Settlement Class Members.
- Settlement Class of all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired HPL securities during the period between July 31, 2001 through July 18, 2002, inclusive. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the defendants, members of the immediate families of the defendants (including spouses and former spouses of any of the defendants), any entity in which any defendant has a controlling interest, present or former directors and officers of HPL, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors, pledgees or assigns of any such defendant. Also excluded are those Persons who timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to the Notice of Pendency and Settlement of Class Action and Settlement Hearing sent to the Settlement Class (identified in Exhibit B-1 hereto).
- With respect to the Settlement Class, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members in the Litigation is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) the Lead Plaintiff and its counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, considering: (i) the interests of the Settlement Class Members in individually controlling the prosecution of the separate actions, (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by Settlement Class Members, (iii) the desirability or undesirability of continuing the litigation of these claims in this particular forum, and (iv) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the Litigation.
- 5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair,

reasonable and adequate to the Settling Parties and to persons who may be alleged or determined to be joint tortfeasors or co-obligors with the Settling Defendants with respect to the Released Claims. The Stipulation and Settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

- 6. Except as to any individual claim of those Persons (identified in Exhibit B-1 hereto) who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, the Litigation and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as against each and all of the Released Persons. The Settling Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 7. Upon the Effective Date, the Representative Plaintiffs shall have, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release.
- 8. Upon the Effective Date, all Settlement Class Members shall be forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any action arising out of or relating in any way to the Released Claims against any of the Released Persons.
- Upon the Effective Date, each of the Settling Defendants shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged each and all of the Settlement Class Members and Representative Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the Released Claims.
- Only those Settlement Class Members filing valid and timely Proof of Claim and Release forms shall be entitled to participate in the Settlement and receive a distribution from the Settlement Fund. The Proof of Claim and Release to be executed by the Settlement Class Members shall release all Released Claims against the Released Persons. All Settlement Class

Members shall be bound by the releases set forth herein whether or not they submit a valid and timely Proof of Claim and Release.

- Settlement Hearing and Proof of Claim and Release and publication of the Summary Notice as provided for in the Preliminary Approval Order were the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.
- Lead Counsel is authorized to distribute the net settlement proceeds of all approved settlements in this litigation to the Authorized Claimants following the conclusion of the claims administration, pursuant to the Court-approved Plan of Allocation, without further order of the Court.
- 13. Any order entered on the Plan of Allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding the attorneys fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.
- 14. The Court hereby enters an order barring claims for contribution as provided by 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(f)(7).
- or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Settling Defendants or their respective Related Persons; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Settling Defendants or their respective Related Persons in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal; or (c) is admissible in any proceeding except an action to enforce or interpret the terms

of the Stipulation, the settlement contained therein, and any other documents executed in connection with the performance of the agreements embodied therein. Settling Defendants and/or their respective Related Persons may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment from this action in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, full faith and credit, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 16. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest and expenses in the Litigation; and (d) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Stipulation.
- The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 18. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/11/2005, 2005

HON. VAUGHN R. WALKER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE

# EXHIBIT B-1

#### EXHIBIT B-1

### SETTLEMENT CLASS EXCLUSION LIST

Frederic B. Smith, Jr. 401 Lakeshore Dr., Apt. 406 Lake Park, FL 33403 561-845-8385

Exclusions Filed By Catanzarite Law Corporation On Behalf Of Former FabCentric Shareholders:

Dell'Oca Family Trust c/o Conrad Dell'Oca 4150 Abel Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94306 650-493-3678

Lucian Wagner c/o TSA, Inc. Suite 300 2000 Commonwealth Ave. Newton, MA 02466 (617) 244-2300

Yen Chang 12023 Adobe Creek Road Los Altos Hills, CA 94022 (650) 917-9889

Venturevest Ltd. c/o Wafra Investment Advisory Group, Inc. Attention: Richard Tareossian 345 Park Avenue, 41<sup>st</sup> Floor New York, NY 10154 (212) 759-3700

InveStar Burgeon Venture Capital, Inc. c/o Michael Tung 3600 Pruneridge Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Clara, CA 95051 (408) 557-0272

InveStar DaySpring Venture Capital, Inc. c/o Michael Tung 3600 Pruneridge Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Clara, CA 95051 (408) 557-0272

## Exclusions Filed By Catanzarite Law Corporation On Behalf Of Former FabCentric Shareholders (cont.):

InveStar Excelsus Venture Capital (Int'l) Inc., LDC c/o Michael Tung 3600 Pruneridge Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Clara, CA 95051 (408) 557-0272

InveStar Semiconductor Development fund, Inc. (II) LDC C/o Michael Tung 3600 Pruneridge Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Clara, CA 95051 (408) 557-0272

Investar Capital, Inc. c/o Michael Tung 3600 Pruneridge Avenue, Suite 300 Santa Clara, CA 95051 (408) 557-0272

Dinesh Goradia 43634 Greenhills Way Fremont, CA 94539 (510) 599-8446

William Ho 22121 Lindy Lane Cupertino, CA 95014 (408) 218-8785

Nitin Parekh 1958 Vinehill Circle Fremont, CA 94539 (510) 413-4970

Manoj Betawar 34117 Finnegan Terrace Fremont, CA 94555 (510) 468-7802

#### END OF REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION